#### **Downtown Oakland Specific Plan**

9/13/22 Meeting #3

## Zoning Incentive Program & Development Standards

#### **Chat Log**

18:02:34 From Joanna Winter (City of Oakland, she/her) to Waiting Room Participants:

We will open the meeting in just a minute. Thanks for joining!

18:07:23 From Alicia Kidd to Everyone:

My name is Alicia Kidd, I am new business owner located in 13th Webster at the new Atlas building. I am joining this meeting to learn about downtown zoning.

18:08:09 From Karla Guerra to Everyone:

My name is Karla Guerra, Policy & Advocacy Manager at The Unity Council, in Oakland, district 5. I am joining this meeting to learn about The Zoning Incentive Program (ZIP) & provide feedback. Thanks.

18:08:19 From Justin Zucker to Everyone:

Justin Zucker

18:08:30 From Justin Zucker to Everyone:

Reuben, Junius & Rose, interested in the ZIP

18:08:35 From Justin Zucker to Everyone:

live off Piedmont Ave.

18:09:01 From Major Jones to Everyone:

incentive program to address homelessness

18:09:09 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

Jeff Levin, Policy Director with East Bay Housing Organizations (EBHO), interested in ZIP and affordable housing

18:09:12 From David Peters, WOCAN to Everyone:

David Peters, Hoover/Foster, West Oakland Cultural Action Network/Cultural Strategist in Govt, mainly hear to listen and learn from folks that have been involved in the DOSP process

18:09:20 From Tiffany Eng to Everyone:

Tiffany Eng - Old Oakland Neighbors and Friends of Lincoln Square Park (Oakland Chinatown), ZIP

18:09:36 From Jeff Goodwin to Everyone:

Jeff Goodwin. Architect and Homeowner in Crocker Highlands +

18:09:38 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

Naomi Schiff, Oakland Heritage Alliance, ZIP and TDRs, historic + cultural preservation.

18:10:14 From liana molina to Everyone:

liana molina, i use she/her pronouns. i work with build affordable faster, a regional advocacy project of TODCO. interested in the ZIP

18:11:03 From seung-yen hong to Everyone:

Seung-Yen Hong, BART. she/her. I have comments on development standards (height limits) related to AB2923

18:12:05 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

IS THIS BEING RECORDED?

18:14:07 From Stephanie Skelton (City of Oakland) to Everyone:

https://www.oaklandca.gov/documents/summary-of-changes-a-guide-to-rezoning-proposals-for-the-downtown-oakland-specific-plan

18:14:42 From Vivian Kahn to Everyone:

I heard the first part of Joanna's presentation and then it stopped. Is the problem with my computer? Anyone else having this problem?

18:14:56 From Khalilha Haynes, City of Oakland to Everyone:

the meeting is not being recorded, but we could @naomi

18:15:03 From Khalilha Haynes, City of Oakland to Everyone:

the audio is OK for me @vivian

18:15:04 From David Early, PlaceWorks to Everyone:

Vivian, I can hear everything just fine.

18:15:07 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

Please record this, especially any discussion. That would be so helpful.

18:15:49 From Stephanie Skelton (City of Oakland) to Everyone:

Vivian if you have any other chat apps open maybe try closing them? such as teams if you have that open

18:16:01 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

does anyone hear object to being recorded?

18:16:47 From Jeff Goodwin to Everyone:

no issue on my part

18:16:48 From Tiffany Eng to Everyone:

Recording would be helpful if only because I may need to step away briefly.

18:17:08 From Vivian Kahn to Everyone:

Audio is back.

18:17:10 From liana molina to Everyone:

no objection here, re: recording

18:17:11 From David Peters, WOCAN to Everyone:

I don't have an objection

18:17:16 From Alan Dones to Everyone:

In strong favor of recording

18:17:27 From Karla Guerra to Everyone:

no objection here, recording is fine

18:17:36 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

yes...it popped up

18:17:39 From Tiffany Eng to Everyone:

Yes, there is an announcement.

18:17:46 From David Peters, WOCAN to Everyone:

yes, we all get that message.

18:17:56 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

Thank you!

18:18:20 From David Peters, WOCAN to Everyone:

Thank you, Naomi!

18:18:47 From Stephanie Skelton (City of Oakland) to Everyone:

https://www.oaklandca.gov/documents/summary-of-changes-a-guide-to-rezoning-proposals-for-the-downtown-oakland-specific-plan

18:19:42 From Nicolas Nagle to Everyone:

For what it's worth, on my phone, the only options were to leave the meeting or approve the recording.

18:20:50 From Stephanie Skelton (City of Oakland) to Everyone:

Happy that it worked out Nicolas, we appreciate you being here!

18:27:16 From Jeff Goodwin to Everyone:

whem

18:27:50 From David Peters, WOCAN to Everyone:

What's the NPV of a market rate unit?

18:29:22 From liana molina to Everyone:

Why would we subsidize market rate units? To increase their production? Maybe I'm misunderstanding. (re: slide 1)

18:30:14 From Tiffany Eng to Everyone:

all below market rate commercial space? (did you just shorten bottom section)?

18:30:51 From Jeff Goodwin to Everyone:

can you explain cost/sf subsidized?

18:32:17 From Alicia Kidd to Everyone:

Is there a requirement that developers reach out to the Oakland Chamber of Commerce and Multicultural chamber of commerce organizations that below Market Rate commercial space are available?

18:34:10 From Justin Zucker to Everyone:

are the unit sizes 1:1 in terms of the unit benefit, i.e., 1 market rate 2-bedroom in R-C gets 96 bonus units, what unit mix can the 96 units be? Is there a floor area limit, height, etc?

18:34:33 From Nicolas Nagle to Everyone:

I know you may get to this, but how does this estimate plug into the housing element? It's my understanding that an accurate estimate of how many new homes will be built in the next cycle to have a compliant housing element. Is there a feasibility study that shows how many new homes would be built given building costs and proposed zoning?

18:34:59 From Michael Pyatok to Everyone:

the large number of additional market rate units creates such a small number of affordable units that this program seems hardly concerned about equity and justice.

18:36:01 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

But isn't flood control actually a benefit for the developer?

18:36:14 From Tiffany Eng to Everyone:

Would investments go to parks and streetscape in the immediate area?

18:37:39 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

How would these in-lieu fees be adjusted for inflation? Shouldn't this be stated in percentages or some such?

18:38:48 From Tiffany Eng to Everyone:

We recently received 100,000 from a downtown developer for a nearby park without them receiving any additional benefit (because a nicer park benefits them too). Is it capped at \$100,000?

18:38:52 From Laura Kaminski to Everyone:

There will be an automatic adjustment for inflation, similar to the Impact Fees

18:39:35 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

It looks like my hand is raised but it isn't!

18:41:00 From Laura Kaminski to Everyone:

Earthquake?

18:41:13 From David Early, PlaceWorks to Everyone:

Tiffany, there is no cap on the benefits to be dedicated. It could be any amount / number, depending on the project that the developer is proposing.

18:41:21 From Vivian Kahn, Dyett & Bhatia to Everyone:

We just had an earthquake in Sonoma County.

18:43:13 From David Early, PlaceWorks to Everyone:

Michael, the numbers reflect what can actually be supported by the dollars generated by the additional development increment. Linda's calculations suggest that a bigger requirement would be financially infeasible.

18:44:25 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

Do these values have to be CPI-adjusted each year

18:45:52 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

Is there an Appendix to the study with the prototypes, pro-formas and other analysis, similar to what's been provided in other studies?

18:46:48 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

Please justify all the required gymnastics required by ZIP when the State Density Bonus program achieves even better results.

18:46:58 From Michael Pyatok to Everyone:

I think the value is not calculated on the basis of the long-term wealth produced by market rate housing. \$22,000 per unit to affordable housing pays for 3% of an affordable unit development, yet that equals 4 months rent of a 2-brm in a high rise market tower. can discuss in the break-out group related to affordable housing

18:47:47 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

One of the Naomi Schiffs is James Vann!

18:49:15 From Joanna Winter (City of Oakland, she/her) to Everyone:

Naomis: If you click on "Participants" and right click on your own name (or Naomi Schiff's name, if you are signed in under Naomi's link), you should be able to "Rename" yourself

18:49:47 From Tiffany Eng to Everyone:

This is quite technical, what will be doing in breakouts? It may be more helpful for us all to share a conversation regarding questions in the chat box together.

18:50:05 From Joanna Winter (City of Oakland, she/her) to Everyone:

Tiffany - yes, I think we'll end up just having a Q&A together

18:52:27 From seung-yen hong, BART to Everyone:

Could you share the presentation sometime tomorrow morning?

18:52:48 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

800%!!!!!

18:53:13 From Stephanie Skelton (City of Oakland) to Everyone:

Seung-yen Hong, here is the link with the presentation:

https://www.oaklandca.gov/meeting/dosp-meeting-3

18:53:37 From seung-yen hong, BART to Everyone:

Thanks!

18:54:26 From Stephanie Skelton (City of Oakland) to Everyone:

Here is the zoning amendments page too if anyone wants to take a look: which also has information about upcoming meetings: https://www.oaklandca.gov/topics/downtown-oaklandspecific-plan-zoning-amendments-downtown-plan

18:55:00 From Joshua Simon to Everyone:

Did you say 5% affordable housing???

18:55:41 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

So could you get 820% density???

18:55:41 From Justin Zucker to Everyone:

Here is a link to Linda's report: https://cao-

94612.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/Attachment-A 2022-08-19-161551 gzos.pdf

18:55:58 From David Peters, WOCAN to Everyone:

Is there a mechanism to have DTO landscape/parkscape benefits benefit low opportunity neighborhoods? We have parks in our neighborhoods getting failing grades in parks maintenance study

18:56:07 From Stephanie Skelton (City of Oakland) to Everyone:

Thank you Justin

18:57:45 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

Do you have documents showing the underlying assumptions for the Hausrath analysis? Can we see them?

18:58:11 From David Early, PlaceWorks to Everyone:

Naomi, as stated, all Linda's work is available in her written report.

18:58:23 From Neil Gray to Everyone:

David - As currently proposed, the infrastructure improvements would be chosen by the developer unless they decide to pay in lieu fees.

18:59:01 From Neil Gray to Everyone:

The improvements would have to be consistent with policies in the Downtown Oakland Specific Plan.

19:01:17 From Joshua Simon to Everyone:

What is the mechanism for insuring that the tax increment from new buildings will go into the housing fund and not be re-directed by a future city council?

Will the Tax Increment be bondable?

19:02:56 From Joshua Simon to Everyone:

If there is local match funding, On-site housing works if the housing is 6 stories or less and if parking is available in the adjacent high rise.

19:03:00 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

In other words, "balkanized housing" -- Downtown housing for only the high income, with fee payment for fewer units in the low cost extremity

19:03:06 From Joanna Winter (City of Oakland, she/her) to Everyone:

David - Following up on Neil, this is an interesting idea we could explore regarding how the in-lieu fees are used to meet the DOSP's goals. It is intended to address benefits in the DOSP area, but we do understand that the downtown has received far more investment than East or West Oakland, and the City's equity policies suggest that it's appropriate to reallocate funds where possible to underinvested neighborhoods, so it's for sure worth a conversation.

19:03:06 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

Linda's report does not include the prototypes and pro-formas, only summaries of the results

19:03:17 From Tiffany Eng to Everyone:

With max density, thousands of new units, there is no guarantee of a funding source for existing parks? How can we prioritize existing (failing) parks over new green loop and new park creation?

19:04:07 From Adrianne Steichen to Everyone:

if overlaid, how will the impact fees be applied for the base and affordable densities? 19:04:08 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

To Linda Hausrath: Under the DOSP, developers will, have the choice of using the ZIP or TDR. Which are they most likely to choose and under what scenarios?

19:04:37 From Tiffany Eng to Everyone:

(OK for me to focus on affordable housing first, will submit comments on parks later)

19:05:36 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

Joanne ... I signed in thru Naomi's email. Can you add me ... James Vann

19:05:57 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

That would be VERY helpful, similar to the work that was made available for the impact fee studies

19:06:03 From Joshua Simon to Everyone:

Is Ground floor affordable live/work space on the first and second floors eligible under the ZIP?

19:06:44 From Karla Guerra to Everyone:

Why does this community benefits program need to be voluntary? It's concerning that if developers don't opt-in, they are not required to provide community benefit.

19:06:46 From Adrianne Steichen to Everyone:

clarification to my previous question: if DOSP and density law are overlaid, how are impact fees assessed for the base development and bonus densities...

19:07:11 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

Yo Linda Hausrath: We understand that you looked at Emeryville's bonus program. What is your assessment of Emeryville's program and would Emeryville's program be likely to produce more affordable housing than the ZIP?

19:08:32 From Joanna Winter (City of Oakland, she/her) to Everyone:

James, are you a different Naomi than the Naomi with their hand up?

19:09:08 From Joshua Simon to Everyone:

Would the below market rate space be divided out as a city or nonprofit owned condominium (To allow for financing and long-term affordability control?)

19:10:45 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

To Linda Hausrath: Your analysis is based on the ZIP program AS PRESENTED. Would there be variations in the presented program that would produce more affordable housing and/or other benefits? For example, would changes in the base densities (especially reductions) and/or bonus densities generate more benefits?

#### 19:12:31 From Joshua Simon to Everyone:

The question was about the annual tax increment "Boomerang". Is Boomerang funding annual forever, or just a limited number of years?

## 19:12:31 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

The dedication of "boomerang funds" to affordable housing is based on a Council resolution and could be rescinded by the City Council - in the past they have redirected some of those funds from production to other (housing- and homeless-related) uses

### 19:12:43 From Michael Pyatok to Everyone:

why is there just a one-time payment for opting out including and affordable unit? the rent from market rate unit is about 4-5 times that of the affordable. what can't the in-lieu payment be, say, 10% of that difference in rent for the life of the market rate rental unit? this would reflect a capture of the full amount of gain that the market rate unit will accrue over time, and would be much higher than the one-time payment of \$22,000 in the highest density zones.

## 19:12:58 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

The impact fees must go to the affordable housing fund because it's based on a nexus analysis

#### 19:14:02 From Neil Gray to Everyone:

The State of CA does not allow an overall down zoning.

## 19:14:47 From Tiffany Eng to Everyone:

Can we please stay on affordable housing a bit longer? It seems important to understand how this program works and who is really benefiting.

# 19:14:52 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

Base zoning is very high in Oakland, so not clear how much incentive there will be?

## 19:14:57 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

The State allows downzoning if offset with upzoning somewhere else, or if it's done specifically to yield affordable housing

#### 19:17:37 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

Please address how inflation/CPI increase would be accounted for. Why is everything stated in today-dollars?

## 19:20:58 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

The study assumes that only 1/3 of the value created by higher density would be captured for public benefits, and 2/3 would be retained by land owners and developers

19:24:16 From Tonya Love to Everyone:

Will the in-lieu fee structure help Oakland accumulate enough money to purchase some of the benefits as suggested? What is an optimal sum for Oakland to recieve to that ..and to increase our Affordable Housing fund?

19:24:39 From Tonya Love to Everyone:

We need to develop a sum that will actually benefit Oakland.. as well as being attractive to developers.

19:26:34 From Joshua Simon to Everyone:

This moment shall pass, as the world wide cost of construction materials goes up and down and if there are more contracting firms compete. To set policy based on todays costs creates a gift for future property owners who will benefit when construction costs level off, as they in 2010. Consider a way to index the Zip.

19:26:44 From Joshua Simon to Everyone:

Yes, I am willing to stay

19:26:51 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

30

19:26:52 From Justin Zucker to Everyone:

15 minutes works, whatever is needed to cover the TDR

19:26:53 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

Yes willing to stay 30

19:26:53 From Joshua Simon to Everyone:

30 minutes

19:26:56 From Yi Zhong to Everyone:

willing to say 30

19:26:56 From David Peters, WOCAN to Everyone:

30

19:26:59 From Tiffany Eng to Everyone:

15-30 more min, would at least like to hear more questions and answers

19:27:08 From James Vann to Everyone:

15

19:27:08 From liana molina to Everyone:

same ^

19:27:11 From Michael Pyatok to Everyone:

unfortunately I have to leave in 5 minutes

19:27:25 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

I would be willing to stay 30 minutes or more to get all of questions to Linda answered.

19:27:31 From Karla Guerra - The Unity Council to Everyone:

How can we submit feedback to the City?

19:27:56 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

Submitting feedback isn't the same as getting questions answered publicly

19:28:05 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

Yes there should be another meeting re: affordable housing.

19:28:58 From Michael Pyatok to Everyone:

yes- another meeting on affordable housing, have to leave! sorry!

19:29:09 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

all additional public meetings should take place BEFORE this goes back to the ZUC

19:30:17 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

In response to Naomi, another meeting INCLUDING the ZIP.

19:30:37 From Tiffany Eng to Everyone:

yes another mtg, but maybe for tonight at least understanding how the affordable housing calcs work so we have a shared understanding would be helpful.

19:31:01 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

I have a specific question about those calcs, so I hope we will get to that

19:32:19 From Tonya Love to Everyone:

Need to have some sort of plan that reserves parking for residents, but also reduces street sweeping, and reduces requirements for residential parking permits. Getting lots of complaints from DTO residents around parking.

19:32:45 From Tonya Love to Everyone:

Well adjust street sweeping times. We need street sweeping, of course.

19:33:18 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

and the recording please

19:33:41 From Nicolas Nagle to Everyone:

+1 to Jeff's ask for calculations. Those would be very helpful.

19:34:03 From Nicolas Nagle to Everyone:

Thank you for your work putting this meeting and materials together.

19:36:51 From Stephanie Skelton (City of Oakland) to Everyone:

i will fix it thank you

19:37:03 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

No equity in this kind of program!

19:40:32 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

When will the (long overdue) Impact Fee Study be completed and available? And when will there be opportunity for public review and input?

19:41:25 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

Does the Housing Element Site Inventory assume that the higher densities from the ZIP will be used? I thought that most of the market-rate sites were already in the pipeline

19:41:36 From Tiffany Eng to Everyone:

Can we work through more examples like this at the affordable housing meeting so the community can understand what the community benefits are or how they will be achieved? I'm not understanding if Jeff's example is an outlier, or if it's how the City intends the program to operate.

19:42:28 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

Agreed, Tiffany.. We need to see a few scenarios, just as the analysis used a mix of different levels of density increase, changes in construction technique, etc.

19:46:35 From Joshua Simon to Everyone:

Seeing the numbers will help. We have seen more development in the past 5 years than in the history of Oakland. The fact that housing is not feasible now has more to do with Bay Area construction costs than with City fees. I agree with Alan Dones' idea of indexing the ZIP and affordable housing benefits to the market. There's a few ways to do this that we can talk about.

19:46:38 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

In-lieu fees should be indexed to inflation in exactly the same way as the existing impact fees are indexed - based on changes in construction cost

19:52:27 From Jeffrey Levin, EBHO to Everyone:

We raised this issue about construction types years ago when this program was first proposed

19:55:06 From Tiffany Eng to Everyone:

Thank you, I need to step off. More discussion (or rather, explanation) before this advances or we are expected to provide feedback would be helpful. Thank you for sharing and answering so many questions.

19:56:04 From Joshua Simon to Everyone:

Based on Linda's answer to the question, then problem with the program is that the density increase does not account for the change of construction type when you increase cost. This means that the regulations would be more effective if they were based on BOTH density AND height. When you go from 6 stories to 8 stories, it's valuable. Above 8 stories, wood frame is not possible and the cost sky rockets. Its the same with going from concrete to steel and the same with going above 22 or 23 stories. You might consider adjusting the height and density caps accordingly.

19:57:29 From charles spiegel to Everyone:

In transfer of development rights for historic buildings, is there anything that then protects the historic building from demolition, other than that their development rights are lessened? I think it would be a good restriction on transfers of development rights from historic buildings that the historic bldg. be preserved. Charlie Spiegel, Esq.

19:59:55 From Joshua Simon to Everyone:

You might want to look at the approach that EBALDC has taken at the Lake Merritt BART site and the 5th and Broadway sites where I believe that affordability will be over 30% affordable.

20:00:31 From Justin Zucker to Everyone:

Thank you for the presentation

20:00:35 From Joanna Winter (City of Oakland, she/her) to Everyone:

Plan Downtown Oakland@oaklandca.gov, https://www.oaklandca.gov/topics/downtown-oakland-specific-plan-zoning-amendments-downtown-plan

20:00:38 From Stephanie Skelton (City of Oakland) to Everyone:

: https://www.oaklandca.gov/documents/summary-of-changes-a-guide-to-rezoning-proposals-for-the-downtown-oakland-specific-plan

20:00:43 From Neil Gray to Everyone:

A developer would need to make demolition findings to demo an existing historic building. The demo findings become more difficult to meet the higher the historic rating of the building. I think the demo findings and limited development opportunity would limit the incentive to demolition historic buildings.

20:01:08 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

Can you save the chat and post it?

20:01:33 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

And we look forward to downloading the recording, thanks!

20:01:48 From Joshua Simon to Everyone:

Thank you for your work todate

20:01:51 From Naomi Schiff to Everyone:

Can Linda's studies of different ZIP scenarios be shred?